Appendix A

Quality Audit Methodology

## Appendix A

## **Quality Audit Methodology**

## Stage 1

Scott Wilson received all open space GIS datasets that RMBC had in their possession. These included several sets of polygons including 'Ancient Woodlands', 'Common land', 'Council woodland', relevant UDP allocation of 'urban green space', 'Landfill' and 'SSSI'.

These were then amassed into one dataset and each polygon given a new, provisional typology from a list drawn up by RMBC made by adapting PPG17 typology to their needs. Up to date aerial photography of the borough was then used to pick out any additional potential green space sites of 0.1 Hectare or above that may not have been included in any of the original sets.

#### Stage 2

A query was then run in GIS to highlight all of those sites that had an area of 0.2 Hectare or above from the new dataset. The auditors could then use these maps to direct them to the sites that would need auditing.

#### Stage 3

On site audits were then carried out to assess green space by accessibility, quality and quantity. We set out to audit all **unrestricted** open spaces in the District above 0.2ha in size. Unrestricted sites are defined as those that are available to everyone at all times although it is noted that some sites may have restrictions between dusk and dawn. If on arriving at a site there was no unrestricted public access, it wasn't audited. Also any extra sites with unrestricted public access that were found whilst out in the borough - that hadn't been brought up by the original datasets or aerial photography - were audited and the relevant polygon added to the main set. This on site audit element was a major element of the study and constituted a large resource input carried out between September 2003 and May 2004. Approximately 430 sites were audited in total.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

## Stage 4

In an effort to identify any sites not picked up by the initial site search, the main dataset was then sent to Officers and Members at RMBC for confirmation that we had audited all those sites that fulfilled the criteria of 0.2 Hectare or above of unrestricted public access. This information was then sent to each ward member to include them in the process and were asked to reply with any comments or missed sites. There was generally a poor response from this 'checking' process although those sites that were identified were audited as set out in Stage 3 above.

#### Rationale

Sites were mapped that had an area of 0.1 or above. It was agreed by the consultant and client that mapping areas any smaller was unnecessary as it was very likely that these were verges of little importance to the scope the study. A previous Scott Wilson study had used =>0.4Ha as the threshold for auditing but it was decided this may omit some sites of importance and so a compromise of 0.2Ha was reached. It was agreed that specific sites of significance to the locality that were between 0.1 and 0.2Ha as identified at Stage 3 above would be audited.

The quality of each open space was assessed by one surveyor, with selected review by another assessor to ensure consistency of standards. Each site found was then assessed using a standard proforma developed by Scott Wilson and based on guidance on Open Space Strategies produced by Arup Economics and Planning for Greater London Authority in 2002 (the GLA Guide). A copy of this proforma showing Fields and Factors is found in Appendix B with terminology and notes in Appendix C. The assessment considered the physical, social and aesthetic qualities of each space. The assessment of physical quality involved appraising the quality of the mainly built features of the spaces such a site furniture, boundaries etc. To ensure comprehensiveness of the database, each physical element was further subdivided into landscape elements. However, total objectivity cannot be guaranteed as each site audit is ultimately made up of subjective judgements.

The assessment of social features included an assessment of the sense of personal security and an assessment of the evidence of vandalism. These social features are set as fields in the proforma. Personal security was assessed in relation to a different set of factors including visibility, degree of isolation, exit options, hidden corners, visual links and accessibility. A summary assessment was made using a three point scale ranging from threatening to comfortable. Vandalism was assessed on a similar scale ranging from very little / performing well (score 3) to generally extensive / performing badly (score 1). Where no feature was present, a score of zero was recorded.

Aesthetic qualities were assessed with a view to defining the overall sense of place by reference to balance, scale, enclosure, texture, colour, diversity, unity, stimulus and pleasure. Again evaluation criteria were developed to guide scoring for each quality.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

In this Audit we have sought to draw selectively on the database in order to summarise data and derive recommendations. The database has the capacity to be a major management tool for the Council and many more correlations and conclusions may be drawn from the database than are presented in this report. The database can be used, for example, to determine the need for investment, to assess management and maintenance and to record changes in quality over time.

#### **Data Limitations**

There are limitations to this study arising from the fact that this is a snapshot assessment. These limitations include:

- x Seasonal effects including effects of weather and hours of daylight
- x Timings of site audit
- x Gaps in audit capture
- x Lack of response from community consultees.

The seasonal effect on the study relates to the effect on the study by bad weather which is likely to affect levels of usage levels of usage. Thus in the winter months, when the majority of the auditing was carried out, levels of usage may be lower and therefore this could affect the identification of patterns of usage and how one site relates to another. In addition the limited number of daylight hours means that the time available for auditing is limited which in turns affects the time taken for completion of the audit.

Given that the audit is done at no specific time it could be that the busiest period of use for said greenspace is missed, giving the appearance of a poorly used space. Finally, as stated in Stage 4, internal consultation took place within RMBC to ensure all sites were identified. The response to this exercise was very disappointing and therefore some sites may have been omitted from the audit. Any future monitoring and review of greenspaces should be aware of these limitations so that a useful comparison can be made.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Appendix B

**Audit proforma** 

Appendix C

Audit terminology and notes

Audit factors for scoring

## Appendix C

# Audit terminology and notes

## **Audit factors for scoring**

#### FIELD SURVEY DATA TERMINOLOGY & NOTES

- (i) <u>Conv Convenience</u> (access for catchment area handy/favourable to needs/comfort/well adapted to purpose)
  - 1 Totally inconvenient
  - 2 Adequate, but with additional capacity
  - 3 Wholly convenient, at capacity
- (ii) <u>Useb Usability/Ease of Use</u> (helpful/serviceable/easily operated & understood)
  - 1 Difficult to use
  - 2- Adequate
  - 3 Easy to Use
- (iii) <u>Func Functionality</u> (capable of operating/work a thing it is designed to do)
  - 1 Total disrepair
  - 2 Adequate
  - 3 Fulfils purpose
- (iv) <u>Usef Usefulness</u> (reason for using or doing/purpose served)
  - 1 No longer of use
  - 2 Fulfilling usage
  - 3 Now serving multiple uses beyond original purpose
- (v) Cond Physical Condition
  - 1 Complete disrepair
  - 2 Good-needs minor attention
  - 3 Excellent condition
- (vi) Need Need (necessity/requisite)
  - 1 Demand for element no longer required
  - 2 Meeting purpose, more facilities of similar nature required
  - 3 Meeting demand
- vii) <u>Coord Co-ordination</u> (elements of like forming a

whole/design/colour/conducive

activities/equality in sharing space)

- 1 Mismatch-whole scale changes required
- 2 Mixed-generally good
- 3 Good continuity
- (viii) Work Work Required
  - 1 Immediate attention needed

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Sc Status: Final Mar 05

- - 2 Adequate
  - 3 Non immediately apparent
- (ix) Appr Appropriateness (conducive use of space to context or surrounding area)
  - 1 Wholly inappropriate
  - 2 Adequate
  - 3 Appropriate

## Explanation of a single audit

x In order to understand the process by which each site is scored on the various sections it might help to describe in simple terms how the auditors have interpreted the criteria in the pro-forma.

## Important Idiosyncrasies

- x Where an element is not present on site, it cannot be scored and n/a (n) is put in the first cell and all others scores for that row are omitted.
- x Where an element is not seen to be relevant by the auditor, it will not be scored, e.g. It can be safely said that no-one would travel specifically to a 0.2 ha amenity green space with no usable features in a residential area so car parks, cycle stands and bus stops are classed as n/a

#### P1 Site information

- x This is simply a section in which to add all useful information that will aid in;
- x -locating the site, by road names
- x -naming the site, provisionally
- x -giving the site a unique identification number for GIS reference
- x -knowing the opening times of the site where relevant
- x -knowing the provisional typology

# **P2 Transport**

- x 'Conv' If a site has a todlers play are then one would expect the car park to not be on the other side of the site if it were large. If a car park was a long way away from it's associated facilities or the placing of the car park seems poor in relation to an obvious alternative location for parking then score 1. If the placement and size is fine then score 3.
- x Similarly if a bus stop sits on the site then score 3. If there is one within a few minutes walk score 2 and if there cannot be one easily

seen for a site that would need one - outdoor sport or major park for instance - score 1.

- x 'Useb' If tarmac in a car park has potholes and the space difficult to manoeuvre in then score low. If the surfacing is of high quality and there is plenty of space for an appropriate number of cars taking into consideration the nature of the site then score high.
- x If a bus stop has a shelter and appears pleasant to use then score 3, score 1 if there is no shelter, seats or much space to stand.
- x Need Is the element fulfilling it's need? If the element is seen to be overstretched then score 1. If only one or two cars are parked in a car park fit for 20 cars at the time of the visit then the car park is fulfilling it's need and the score would be 3. If a car park is full and people are queuing to park then score 1.
- x 'Appr' This score will be the overall appropriateness of the situation for the specific element.

#### P3 Site Access.

- x In this project it was decided to always score a site with access for Pedestrian and Disabled access from the point of view of access for all. If there was obvious access for vehicles or bicycles then they were given scores also.
- x 'Conv', refers to the placement of routes through the site and the location of the entrance. If there are paths that provide access through significant parts of the site and the access points are in logical places then score 3. In many cases there will be no routes through a site so this will immediately impede movement through the site. For example, an amenity grass area on a slope that has no paths through it might score 1 or 2 for pedestrian Conv and a 1 for disabled access. To keep the assessments simple disabled access is thought of on terms of pushing a wheelchair into and through a site. In terms of the score for 'Gates', are any.
- x 'Useb', refers to how easy it is for each type of user to move through the site. If the site is an amenity grass area on a slope that has no paths then the 'useb' for a pedestrian may score a 1 or 2 and disabled as a 1.
- x If a gate is extremely stiff and takes a lot of effort to open or is not properly attached to it's post or wall then it will score 1.
- x 'Need', refers to whether or not the access that is there is seen to be of an adequate level of provision or not. This score can only be low if people are seen to be struggling with the existing level of access or there is evidence that people have been struggling.
- x 'Appr', is the overall score for the appropriateness of the situation with regard to the nature of the site. For example a woodland may score low for conv and useb for pedestrians but it's appr score could be high

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Scott Wilson Status: Final Mar 05 Leeds

as one would not expect to have particularly easy access through an area of relative wilderness.

#### **P4 Site Furniture**

- x 'Conv' Useful placement, positioning and appropriate number of seats, entrance lights, security lights, litter and dog litter bins.
- x 'Cond' Score 3 for no damage at all, irrelevant minor defects. Score 2 for noticeable damage or wear which doesn't affect the functional or aesthetic nature of the furniture in a significant way and score 1 for an item which is no longer in acceptable, usable condition.
- x 'Work'- Almost always as a direct result from the condition score does immediate work to restore or replace an item is needed - score 1. If minor works are requires to restore or repair an item score 2 and if no work is needed at all score 3.
- x 'Appr', as previously, is it appropriate for the furniture to be in the condition that it is?

## P5 Signage

- x Fingerposts These point users in the direction of other places, facilities and rights of way.
- x Interpretation If there are any signposts with useful or helpful information about the site then score for this feature. Negative signs such as no ball games or no bikes are not classed as interpretation.
- x 'Usef' Does the item fulfil a useful purpose. If you cannot read an entrance sign or it is hidden behind foliage then score 1.

## **P6 Boundary Features**

## P7 Vegetation

- x For each item it is necessary to view the situation from a landscape design point of view for 'conv' - Is the placement of the feature effective in creating a pleasant and useful space. Even in cases such as outdoor sport in which the functional use of the site is the main concern, one can still assess the sense of place that the features help to create.
- x As so many sites have closely mown grass as their main coverage it is difficult to assess it's convenience and usefulness in a positive light when there appears to be such a large surplus of these areas. Unless the grass seem particularly beneficial to the nature of the site these largely will score 2 or in extreme cases 1.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Scott Wilson Status: Final Mar 05

## P8 Footpaths

x Desire lines will almost always be considered in the right place and score 3. 'Func' and 'cond' score will be determined by whether or not the desire line has reached a point at which it is severely rutted and/ or muddy in wet conditions.

#### P9 Architectural Features

- x In churchyards and cemeteries the gravestones fit under the catchment of 'Monuments'.
- x Small functional buildings and outhouses, etc are scored in the 'Pavilions' category.

## **P10 Locality**

- x Conv If the site is located amongst many homes and they all have easy access, score 3.
- x If the site is in a reasonably useful position for many homes to access the site easily within a minute or two then score 2. If the site is not within the immediate vicinity of any homes then score 1.
- x Appr (is the location of the site appropriate considering its' typology). If a site is located in an ideal spot, on many people's doorsteps but the site is of limited use i.e. a football pitch, and only a football pitch, then the score for the appropriateness of this site in its' location may be low. A cemetery with a low convenience score may receive a high appropriateness score as it could be said that a cemetery need not be in a prime position as it has little versatility for it's users.

#### P11 Maintenance

x Is the site clean and tidy ('conv') and does it therefore need some maintenance ('work').

#### P12 Biodiversity

- x All scores for biodiversity are from the point of view of the flora and fauna that are evident.
- x i.e. 'useb'- is the site being used or likely to be able to be used by flora and fauna in it's current state. Even a single tree and/or a small patch of vegetation will mean a variety of species may find the area a useful habitat. 'cond' the overall condition of those features likely to be condusive to habitat creation. 'Co-ord' the co-ordination, design/placement of those features likely to be condusive to habitat creation and useful links to other similar areas.
- x In the case of Biodiversity it is possible for a small site that has for example only a turf football pitch with little room for marginal space to

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies
Status: Final Mar 05
Scott Wilson
Leeds

Scott Wilson

Leeds

score 3 for appropriateness but score 1 in all other columns because it's primary use is as a football pitch and there is not the physical room for wildlife considerations. Therefore the situation can be considered appropriate.

## P13 Play Facilities

x MUGA's (Multi use games areas) have been included in the >10 section as have any informal basketball courts or hoops.

#### P14 Vandalism

x Scores are given for graffiti, tagging and wilful damage and to whether they are generally extensive, localised specific, localised casual or minor.

## **P15 Principal Views**

x 'Generally contained' views will receive scores for the view within the site itself and 'Variety' is concerned with overall views looking away from the site.

# **S1 Personal Security**

x A single score is given for visibility, degree of isolation, exit options, hidden corners, natural surveillance and accessibility.

#### S2 Summary of Personal Security

- x This score summarises the overall feel of the site from the viewpoint of personal security.
- x = 3 friendly, 2 safe, 1 unsettling.

#### S3 Aesthetic Factors

- x These are not used in the scoring process but help to note the character of the site.
- x A cross is placed in each cell; see pro forma for examples of these.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Appendix D

**Quality / Value Matrix** 

# Appendix D

# Quality / Value Matrix

# Producing a Quality/Value matrix for Rotherham's open spaces.

It is suggested that the following four factors are used to assess 'Value' of the audited sites.

- x Degree of overlap with catchment buffers of other sites of similar nature\*1
- x Size of site
- x Population within the catchment area
- x Deprivation of local population\*2
  - -\*<sup>1</sup>in order to get a good degree of overlap between catchments, site of all types were queried instead of only using sites of the same typology.
  - -\*2this was not used in finding value scores but was used as a separate factor for consideration where specific sites were in particularly deprived areas.

#### Degree of overlap

Every site was given a 280m buffer to represent a 400m walking catchment. A GIS script was used to analyse the level of overlap occurring where catchments crossed each other. The total overlap area for each site catchment was divided by its own site catchment area in order to find the proportion of overlap in relation to its size.

The greater this number ('x'), the greater the level of catchment overlap in proportion to site size. This represents a greater level of adjacent catchment buffers. Thus the greater 'x' is, the lesser the value of the site.

The data was rearranged in order of x and then given a separate rank from 1-429, where x is lowest – ranking score =429 and where x is highest, ranking score =1 in order to give sites of higher overlap value a higher rank score.

#### Site size

A simple GIS query ascertains the area in Hectare of every site using its polygon boundary ('y').

The data was rearranged in order of y and then given a separate rank from 1-429, where y is highest – ranking score =429 and where 'y' is lowest ranking score =1 given that the higher this rank score, the higher the value.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

## **Population**

A GIS table containing population data was used to estimate the number of people living within each site catchment. The dataset was made up of single geographical points each representing a dwelling of 2.3 people; the average number of residents per household for the borough.

A GIS script was used to count each point. This figure was multiplied by 2.3 to give an estimate for the population within each catchment ('z').

The data was rearranged in order of 'z' and then given a separate rank from 1-429, where z is highest – ranking score =429 and where z is lowest ranking score =1 given that the higher this ranking score the higher the value.

#### **Overall Value Score**

As each of these value indicators works on the basis that the higher the rank score, the greater the value of the site for that specific indicator, we can then find the sum of these three rank scores to find an overall score for value. The mean value score was calculated to identify whether a site was high value (above the mean) or low value (below the mean).

#### High value sites tend toward;

- Little or no overlap Χ
- Large size Х
- Greater local population Χ
- Deprived social setting Χ

#### Low value sites tend toward;

- A high degree of overlap Χ
- Small Size Х
- Χ Small local population.
- No noted Deprived social setting Х

#### **Quality Score**

Site quality was also ranked and split at the mean quality score to classify each site as high or low quality.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Scott Wilson Status: Final Mar 05

## **Quality / Value Matrix**

PPG17 Companion Guide sets the matrix out as follows (summarised)

High quality / low value	High quality /high value
Policy options:  x Enhance value  x Convert primary purpose	Policy options: x Protect
Low quality / low value	Low quality / high value
Policy options:  x Improve quality provided can enhance value if not x Could be surplus to requirements	Policy options: x Enhance quality x Protect

# **Quality / Value Matrix (Ranking)**

Once each site had be assigned to one of the above four groups we then used the following methods to grade each site within each group.

#### High quality / high value group

The sum of the quality score rank and overall value score rank was found. Those with a higher score could be deemed to have greater combined quality and value than those with a lower score.

#### High quality / low value group

The score is found by subtracting the low value ranking score from the high quality ranking score thus higher scores show a greater difference between the high quality ranking score and the low value ranking score. Thus the sites with the higher score can be deemed as having a more substantial high quality to low value relationship than those with a lower score.

## Low quality / high value group

The score is found by subtracting the low quality ranking score from the high value ranking score thus higher scores show a greater difference between the high value ranking score and the low quality ranking score. Thus the sites with the higher score can be deemed as having a more substantial low quality to high value relationship than those with a lower score.

#### Low quality / low value group

The score is found by totalling the value ranking score and the quality ranking score thus lower scores show lower combined value and quality rank scores.

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Scott Wilson Status: Final Mar 05 Leeds

Appendix E

Value scores by Area Assembly

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/Ib's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Appendix E - Value scores by Area Assembly - Area Assembly 1 Wentworth North

, ippondix 2	Tuide C	,	7.10	a Assembly T Wentworth North				
Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Ranking in	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
sx78	6.66	Parks	L	Piccadilly POS, Swinton	1026	417	HV	1
Sx10	5.25	Parks	L	Queen's Street Park, Swinton	987	409	HV	11
WW22	4.72	Cemeteries	Х	Church of St Margarets	966	407	HV	1
WW03	1.53	Amenity green space	L	All Saints Parish Church, Wath	958	405	HV	1
WT02	6.50	Outdoor sports	N	Brampton Sports Centre	934	397	HV	1
WW16	1.25	Amenity green space	L	Sandygate green space	920	391	HV	1
WT18	25.79	Natural	L	Wath Tip site	909	382	HV	1
WW20	17.55	Natural	L	Wath Wood	899	378	HV	1
sx77b	14.40	Natural	L	Kilnhurst Ings	896	377	HV	1
sx55	9.80	Natural	L	Warren Vale wood Road	889	375	HV	1
WT01	4.79	Outdoor sports	L	Wath Road park	883	372	HV	1
WT50	3.18	Outdoor sports	L	Barnsley Road Rec, Thorpe Hesley	861	364	HV	1
WT55	80.26	Parks	В	Wentworth House	860	363	HV	1
WW10	2.87	Cemeteries	Χ	Wath-upon-Dearne cemetery	857	362	HV	1
Sx09	3.22	Parks	L	Horsefair Park	856	361	HV	1
WW01	14.75	Parks	N	Wath Community Park	843	354	HV	1
WW11	4.13	Parks	N	Newhill Park	833	345	HV	1
WW06	95.92	Parks	N	Manvers Lake and Surrounds	820	329	HV	1
WW13	0.48	Parks	L	Avenue Road park, Wath	803	323	HV	1
Sx04	1.38	Parks	L	Thomas street park	775	308	HV	1
Sx52	2.23	Parks	N	Highfield Park, Swinton	766	300	HV	1
WW07	3.43	Natural	L	Brook Dike	754	291	HV	1
WT51	4.00	Natural	L	Kirby Lane	753	290	HV	1

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	2 22 2	Score			Area Assembly
Sx11	3.95	Natural	L	Queen's Street natural site	748	285	HV	1
Sx08	0.74	Amenity green space	L	Cliffefield Road greenspace	746	284	HV	1
WT53	2.59	Cemeteries	Х	Wentworth Church	736	279	HV	1
WT52	1.92	Outdoor sports	L	Occupation Road Park, Harley	734	276	HV	1
WT54	2.92	Outdoor sports	L	Clayfield Lane Park, Wentworth	719	270	HV	1
WT14	1.20	Amenity green space	L	Tennyson Rise	715	268	HV	1
WT15	1.69	Natural	L	Moorland View natural site	715	266	HV	1
WT10	2.34	Parks	L	West Melton park	701	258	HV	1
WW18	1.49	Natural	L	Quarry Hill Road natural site	697	257	HV	1
WT13	1.74	Amenity green space	L	Well Road greenspace	690	255	HV	1
Sx77	1.19	Amenity green space	L	Carlisle Street Greenspace	683	247	HV	1
wt04	1.62	Amenity green space	L	Westfield Road greenspace	679	243	HV	1
sx12	4.38	Outdoor sports	L	Piccadilly Road Outdoor sports	677	241	HV	1
Sx56	1.50	Amenity green space	L	Woodlands Crescent greenspace	667	232	HV	1
WW09	1.75	Outdoor sports	L	White Bear Estate, Wath	661	228	HV	1
WT09	1.28	Cemeteries	Х	Brampton Rd cemetery	635	210	LV	1
WW04	0.83	Parks	L	Sandygate New Road Park	617	197	LV	1
sx13	1.83	Natural	L	Piccadilly Road natural site	608	189	LV	1
105	0.91	Amenity green space	L	Stubbin Lane green space	592	179	LV	1
1252	0.82	Natural	L	Haugh Rd field	572	169	LV	1
WW12	0.45	Amenity green space	L	Campsall Field Road green space	571	168	LV	1
WW21	0.39	Amenity green space	L	Rig Drive greenspace	570	165	LV	1
WT06	0.93	Parks	L	Packman Road Play Area	565	161	LV	1
Sx05	0.35	Amenity green space	L	Thomas Street greenspace	558	155	LV	1

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Value Ranking in Borough	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
WT05	0.38	Amenity green space	L	Smithy Bridge Lane	537	143	LV	1
WW23	0.99	Amenity green space	L	Green Lane green space	533	139	LV	1
WW02	0.48	Amenity green space	L	St Biscay Way 2	518	125	LV	1
105b	0.97	Natural	L	Stubbin Lane ecological Site	517	124	LV	1
sx07	0.27	Amenity green space	L	Station Street	512	121	LV	1
sx53	0.31	Amenity green space	L	Broadway greenspace	502	113	LV	1
34	0.45	Amenity green space	L	Hart Hill green space	496	110	LV	1
Sx73	0.35	Amenity green space	L	Calcot Green	471	97	LV	1
sx80	0.22	Amenity green space	L	Celandine Rise	463	91	LV	1
WT17	0.94	Amenity green space	L	Church Street, Wath	461	87	LV	1
sx79	0.36	Amenity green space	L	Larkspur Close	439	74	LV	1
WT08	0.20	Amenity green space	L	Elsecar Road	430	71	LV	1
1249b	0.27	Amenity green space	L	Symonds Ave green space	427	69	LV	1
Sx78a	0.20	Amenity green space	L	Calladine Way	402	59	LV	1
WT03	0.21	Amenity green space	L	Knollbeck Ave green space	401	58	LV	1
WW05	0.36	Amenity green space	L	Church Street greenspace 1	378	46	LV	1
WT07	0.41	Amenity green space	L	Packman Road Natural site	357	41	LV	1
Sx01	0.25	Amenity green space	L	Church Street greenspace 2	352	40	LV	1
WT16	0.45	Amenity green space	L	West Street, Wath	345	36	LV	1
WW08	0.21	Natural	L	Michael Croft greenspace	296	19	LV	1
sx81	0.21	Amenity green space	L	Caraway Grove, Swinton	275	14	LV	1

## Area Assembly 2 Rotherham North

Unique Site					Value		High or Low	Area
No.	(Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy					Assembly
HY16		Natural	L	Wentworth Rd	1049	420	HV	2
HY29		Natural	L	Bray's Plantation and Scholes Plantation	1015	414	HV	2
HY28		Natural	L	Keppels field	924	394	HV	2
HY27	16.60		N	Barkers park	917	389	HV	2
KB12	11.90	Parks	N	Blackburn & Kimbernorth Roundwalk NE	915	385	HV	2
CN8		Amenity green space	L	Wortley Road greenspace	886	373	HV	2
GR03	13.21	Outdoor sports	L	Roughwood outdoor sports	862	365	HV	2
CN23	3.83	Parks	N	Ferham Park	856	360	HV	2
GR06	9.00	Amenity green space	L	Fenton Road green 2	839	349	HV	2
KB11	6.54	Amenity green space	L	Winterhill	839	350	HV	2
GR10	5.54	Amenity green space	L	Wagon Rd green space, Munsbrough	833	344	HV	2
GR07	8.83	Parks	L	Grayson Rd rec	827	340	HV	2
GR08	7.27	Natural	L	Fenton Road	821	331	HV	2
CN13	1.34	Amenity green space	L	Chantry Vw	801	321	HV	2
GR25	2.16	Parks	N	Greasborough Park	790	317	HV	2
GR05	4.28	Amenity green space	L	Roughwood Road green	784	315	HV	2
KB03		Amenity green space	L	South Street 1	784	313	HV	2
KB03	4.98	Amenity green space	L	South Street 1	784	313	HV	2
				Blackburn and Kimberworth roundwalk				
KB40	17.65	Natural	L	west	782	312	HV	2

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	1	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
KB03	4.98	Parks	L	Kimberworth Community Park	781	311	HV	2
KB03	4.98	Parks	L	Kimberworth Community Park	781	311	HV	2
KB25	2.52	Outdoor sports	L	St Pauls Field	773	306	HV	2
aj212	7.78	Natural	L	Hudson's Rough	770	304	HV	2
CN16	5.50	Parks	N	Bradgate Park	767	301	HV	2
HY04	1.79	Amenity green space	L	Town Lane green 1	757	297	HV	2
GR20	2.69	Amenity green space	L	Lapwater Road greenspace	752	288	HV	2
HY12	1.71	Cemeteries	Х	Holy Trinity Church	752	286	HV	2
HY25	5.33	Natural	L	Upper Wortley Rd natural site	735	277	HV	2
CN18	2.79	Cemeteries	Χ	Masborough Cemetery	713	265	HV	2
KB10	2.50	Amenity green space	L	Meadowhall Road	707	263	HV	2
GR04	1.64	Amenity green space	L	Windfield Rd green space	684	248	HV	2
KB22	2.58	Natural	L	Baring Road	683	246	HV	2
GR01	1.74	Amenity green space	L	Town Lane green 2	676	240	HV	2
KB24	0.93	Natural	L	Richmond Park Avenue	675	239	HV	2
KB33	2.36	Cemeteries	X	St Thomas'	674	236	HV	2
CN24	6.74	Natural	L	Henley Way	672	234	HV	2
GR23	2.09	Amenity green space	L	Ochre Dike Walk greenspace	672	235	HV	2
HY17	0.80	Amenity green space	L	Kestrel Avenue greenspace	663	229	HV	2
KB41	12.55	Outdoor sports	L	Blackburn and Kimberworth roundwalk west pitches	653	225	HV	2
CN20	0.99	Natural	L	Meadow Bank Road	646	220	HV	2

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score		Low	Area Assembly
HY14	4.49	Natural	L	Brook Hill greenspace	645	219	HV	2
KB32	3.42	Natural	L	Blackburn and Kimberworth roundwalk west	638	212	LV	2
CN19	_	Amenity green space	L	Kelford School	630	207	LV	2
HY11	1.51	Natural	L	Hesley Lane green space	619	199	LV	2
HY03	0.39	Amenity green space	L	Wheatley Rd green space	616	196	LV	2
HY10		Parks	L	Bar Park, Thorpe Hesley	616	195	LV	2
KB36	0.67	Amenity green space	L	Barber Balk Rd	611	191	LV	2
GR09	3.53	Natural	L	Munsborough Lane	605	187	LV	2
GR24	1.09	Amenity green space	L	Coach Road green	592	178	LV	2
KB34	0.62	Amenity green space	L	Wortley Rd verge	582	175	LV	2
GR12	0.35	Amenity green space	L	Barbot Hill Rd green	577	173	LV	2
KB15	0.73	Amenity green space	L	Great Park Road	573	171	LV	2
GR21	1.61	Amenity green space	L	Town Lane greenspace 2	531	138	LV	2
KB14		Amenity green space	L	Wortley Road 1	526	133	LV	2
aj300	0.22	Amenity green space	L	Ox Close Ave	507	116	LV	2
KB02		Amenity green space	L	South Street 2	477	100	LV	2
CN5		Amenity green space	L	Oates close 2	470	96	LV	2
HY23	2.01	Natural	L	Upper Wortley Rd green space 2	469	94	LV	2
GR22	1.20	Cemeteries	Х	Greaseborough cemetery	467	92	LV	2
KB31		Amenity green space	L	Hill Top Close	462	89	LV	2
HY22	1.57	Parks	L	King Georges field, Thorpe Hesley	455	82	LV	2

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score		Low	Area Assembly
HY26	0.62	Amenity green space	L	Upperwortly Road	448	77	LV	2
CN22	0.82	Natural	L	Wilton Subway	425	68	LV	2
HY21	0.74	Amenity green space	L	Upper Wortley Road green space	414	63	LV	2
KB13	1.41	Amenity green space	L	Droppingwell Road 1	413	61	LV	2
CN17	0.86	Amenity green space	L	Wilton Crescent green space	409	60	LV	2
KB01	0.39	Amenity green space	L	Wortley Road 2	380	47	LV	2
GR26	0.59	Cemeteries	Х	Church Street Cemetery	374	44	LV	2
CN4	0.85	Amenity green space	L	Henley Rise green	358	42	LV	2
KB35	0.25	Natural	L	The Motte	329	29	LV	2
CN14	0.96	Amenity green space	L	Fenton Road green 3	326	27	LV	2
CN12	0.38	Amenity green space	L	Centenary roundabout	323	26	LV	2
CN15	0.36	Amenity green space	L	Fenton Rd Green space 1	278	15	LV	2
GR02	0.27	Amenity green space	L	Town Lane green space 1, Greasbrough	273	13	LV	2
HY20	0.33	Amenity green space	L	Eldertree Road greenspace, Thorpe Hesley	264	11	LV	2
KB23	0.36	Amenity green space	L	Droppingwell Road 2	223	5	LV	2
CN6	0.26	Amenity green space	L	Oates close, Thornhill	178	1	LV	2

## Area Assembly 3 Wentworth South

Unique Site Identification No.	(Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy		Score		Value	Area Assembly
BR1	14.69	Natural	N	Gibbing Greave Wood	1161	424	HV	3
HW53		Parks	N	Valley Park	1102	421	HV	3
100	5.23	Outdoor sports	N	Claypit Lane rec	1040	418	HV	3
331	13.56	Parks	N	Victoria Park	1009	413	HV	3
98	2.86	Outdoor sports	L	Rawmarsh Miners welfare	1007	412	HV	3
1039	5.40	Outdoor sports	N	Rawmarsh Leisure Centre	996	411	HV	3
BW1	2.63	Amenity green space	L	Vincent Rd Green	960	406	HV	3
BW2	0.91	Amenity green space	L	Ferndale Drive Green	919	390	HV	3
111	1.78	Outdoor sports	L	School Lane rec, Parkgate	915	386	HV	3
1043	4.76	Natural	L	Infirmary Rd Hill	899	379	HV	3
sx77c	15.35	Natural	L	Kilnhurst Ings	896	377	HV	3
106	2.53	Cemeteries	Х	Rawmarsh Cemetery (High Street)	893	376	HV	3
102	3.25	Cemeteries	Х	Rawmarsh Cemetery (Haugh Rd)	875	368	HV	3
aj209	25.57	Parks	В	Thrybergh CP	844	355	HV	3
aj207	29.04	Natural	L	Ravenfield Park	822	334	HV	3
692a	6.27	Natural	L	Birch Wood	778	309	HV	3
1373	1.53	Amenity green space	L	Hague Avenue green space	773	307	HV	3
1475	0.63	Amenity green space	L	Kilnhurst Rd green space	769	303	HV	3
DW50	5.98	Outdoor sports	L	Silverwood Miners Welfare	768	302	HV	3
DW13	2.44	Outdoor sports	L	Sunnyside Rec	763	299	HV	3
DW55	1.99	Amenity green space	L	Ridgeway	762	298	HV	3

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Unique Site	<b>A</b>				Walasa	Value	High or	
Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Score	Ranking in Borough		Area Assembly
DW11	0.79	Amenity green space	L	Thrybergh sports field	740	283	HV	3
aj208	4.21	Natural	L	Firsby Reservoirs	719	269	HV	3
DW4	2.11	Outdoor sports	L	Magna Road Rec	711	264	HV	3
714	0.25	Natural	L	Heatons bank open space	692	256	HV	3
471	2.60	Cemeteries	Х	Rawmarsh Cemetery (Greasborough Lane)	686	251	HV	3
DW12	0.37	Amenity green space	L	Gulling wood drive	685	250	HV	3
BW4	2.15	Outdoor sports	L	Hollings Lane green	682	245	HV	3
1783b	13.01	Natural	L	Sandhill green link	675	238	HV	3
HW54	8.15	Cemeteries	Х	East Herringthorpe cemetery	656	226	HV	3
1453	0.60	Natural	L	Dale Rd open space	641	218	HV	3
1008	0.21	Amenity green space	L	Ryan Place green	637	211	LV	3
108	0.37	Amenity green space	L	Barber's Ave green space	614	193	LV	3
698	8.11	Natural	L	Warren Vale	612	192	LV	3
sx84	1.98	Outdoor sports	L	Kilnhurst Miners Welfare	579	174	LV	3
1783	4.60	Natural	L	Moordale View open space	562	160	LV	3
DW10	0.24	Amenity green space	L	Park Close green space	559	158	LV	3
DW8	0.42	Amenity green space	L	Wood Street Green Space, Thrybergh	559	156	LV	3
DW7	0.49	Amenity green space	L	Brierly road	540	145	LV	3
HW52	1.26	Natural	L	Aldwarke Locke Island	537	142	LV	3
1843	0.74	Natural	L	Gwyn Reed Nature Area	527	134	LV	3
1509	2.74	Parks	L	Sandhills park	518	126	LV	3
XX02	0.20	Amenity green space	L	Durham Places	514	123	LV	3
1846b	3.07	Natural	L	Kilnhurst Rd pond	508	117	LV	3

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	7. 07	Hierarchy		Score	Ranking in Borough		Area Assembly
DW3	1.14	Amenity green space	L	Brecks Lane Green Space	504	114	LV	3
692	2.30	Natural	L	Dysons plantation	481	106	LV	3
DW51	0.50	Amenity green space	L	Dalton Lane	477	101	LV	3
DW2	0.58	Cemeteries	X	Hawksworth Road cemetery	469	95	LV	3
DW9	0.24	Amenity green space	L	School Street Green Space	461	88	LV	3
1432	0.38	Amenity green space	L	Haugh Road green space	456	84	LV	3
1465b	0.50	Amenity green space	L	High Street corner green, Rawmarsh	453	79	LV	3
HW8	0.34	Amenity green space	L	Fretwell Rd green space	420	66	LV	3
1365	0.37	Natural	L	New Meadows green corridor	414	65	LV	3
109	0.21	Amenity green space	L	Roman Crescent green space	395	55	LV	3
HW9	0.32	Amenity green space	L	Conway Crescent green space	381	48	LV	3
BW3	0.83	Amenity green space	L	Woodlaithes Farm Pond	378	45	LV	3
dw1	0.29	Amenity green space	L	Hawksworth rd flats	351	38	LV	3
709	0.68	Natural	L	Old Warren Vale wood	335	32	LV	3
DW15	0.55	Amenity green space	L	Paddock drive 2	334	31	LV	3
sx82	0.46	Cemeteries	Х	St Thomas Church	321	24	LV	3
HW6	0.34	Parks	L	Herringthorpe Play Area	316	22	LV	3
104	0.31	Amenity green space	L	Marriott Place green, Rawmarsh	299	20	LV	3
DW5	0.29	Amenity green space	L	Old Gate Land Green Space, Thrybergh	293	18	LV	3
hw5	0.29	Amenity green space	L	Farnworth Rd, E Herringthorpe	287	17	LV	3
DW6	0.32	Natural	L	Foljambe drive 2	260	9	LV	3
sx96	0.24	Parks	L	Victoria Gardens, Kilnhurst	225	6	LV	3

## Area Assembly 4 Rotherham South

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	in	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
PW1	23.00	Parks	В	Clifton Park	1234	428	HV	4
BOW17	96.11	Natural	В	Canklow Wood	1178	427	HV	4
PW2	33.33	Outdoor sports	В	Herringthorpe Playing Fields	1172	426	HV	4
aj210	4.94	Parks	N	Eldon Rd	1153	423	HV	4
CN1	0.67	Amenity green space	L	St Annes Road verge	922	393	HV	4
TF23	1.27	Amenity green space	L	Cowrakes Lane	916	388	HV	4
BOW07	6.36	Amenity green space	L	Centenary Way green spaces	883	371	HV	4
PW3	0.52	Amenity green space	L	The Walk	862	366	HV	4
BOW10	5.68	Cemeteries	В	Moorgate Cemetery	837	348	HV	4
CN3	6.95	Amenity green space	L	Ickles Lock POS	824	337	HV	4
aj201	4.98	Natural	L	Whiston Meadows	813	327	HV	4
CN2	0.27	Cemeteries	X	church street 3	807	325	HV	4
BOW06	4.74	Parks	L	Canklow Road MUGA & Play Area	757	296	HV	4
BOW14	1.06	Amenity green space	L	Broom Valley Road green	739	281	HV	4
BOW11	8.16	Parks	В	Boston Castle Park	688	254	HV	4
TF21	1.05	Cemeteries	X	Winston Parish Church	658	227	HV	4
XX01	0.38	Amenity green space	L	Beaconsfield Road	648	221	HV	4
TF20	1.52	Outdoor sports	L	Whiston Methodists Cricket Club	641	217	HV	4
HW1	0.72	Amenity green space	L	Longfellow Drive 2	625	203	LV	4
HW4	0.28	Amenity green space	L	Far Lane green space	619	200	LV	4

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Value Ranking in Borough	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
hw2	0.31	Amenity green space	L	Longfellow Drive green space	545	150	LV	4
BOW13	0.26	Amenity green space	L	Norrel's Croft green	538	144	LV	4
hw10	0.50	Outdoor sports	L	Mowbray Gardens centre	534	140	LV	4
BOW16	0.24	Amenity green space	L	Shawsfield Road green	528	135	LV	4
HW3	0.35	Amenity green space	L	Long Fellow Drive 1	511	120	LV	4
HW11	0.45	Amenity green space	L	Fitzwilliam Road 2	481	107	LV	4
HW12	0.68	Natural	L	Fitzwilliam Road 1	438	73	LV	4
CN9	0.50	Amenity green space	L	College Road	414	62	LV	4
BOW05	0.77	Amenity green space	L	Castle Avenue green space	384	49	LV	4
BOW08	0.75	Amenity green space	L	Ickles Roundabout	384	50	LV	4

# Area Assembly 5 Wentworth Valley

Unique Site						Value	High or	
Identification	Area	-			Value	Ranking in	-	Area
No.	(Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Score	Borough	Value	Assembly
BW5	3.81	Parks	N	Warren Road Park, Wickersley	1102	422	HV	5
MW29	9.32	Natural	L	Blyth Road natural site	1018	415	HV	5
aj206	7.71	Outdoor sports	N	Bill Hawes	973	408	HV	5
MW11	4.75	Natural	L	Salisbury Road, Maltby	956	402	HV	5
XX08	13.55	Natural	L	Brecks Wood	951	401	HV	5
MW10	1.83	Amenity green space	L	Yarwell Drive, Maltby	948	400	HV	5
aj211	2.55	Parks	N	Ruby Cook	935	398	HV	5
XX07	4.48	Parks	L	Flash Lane park	931	396	HV	5
BW7	1.73	Amenity green space	L	Bramley Park	916	387	HV	5
MW21	2.85	Parks	L	Highfield Park, Maltby	914	384	HV	5
XX05	1.27	Cemeteries	X	Church – Wickersley	878	370	HV	5
MW24	5.26	Parks	L	Cherry Tree Park	841	351	HV	5
XX03	1.08	Amenity green space	L	Rosemary Road	827	341	HV	5
MW14	3.15	Outdoor sports	L	Maltby Manor Rec	824	338	HV	5
xx04	3.71	Parks	L	Sorby Way park,Wickersley	822	335	HV	5
BW6	0.91	Amenity green space	L	Laural Avenue green	821	333	HV	5
MW18	1.93	Cemeteries	X	Maltby Cemetery	778	310	HV	5
MW16	2.75	Parks	N	Coronation Park	756	295	HV	5
MW8	1.35	Amenity green space	L	Victoria Way Wood, Lily Hall	736	278	HV	5
MW13	0.64	Amenity green space	L	Braithwell Road green space	722	272	HV	5

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	7, 0,	Hierarchy		Score		Value	Area Assembly
MW4	2.37	Natural	L	Redwood Drive natural site	703	261	HV	5
DW14	0.43	Amenity green space	L	Fleming Way	702	260	HV	5
DW16	0.28	Amenity green space	L	Fleming way	701	259	HV	5
BW9	1.03	Parks	N	Barrie Grove, Hellaby	678	242	HV	5
MW15	0.74	Natural	L	Carlyle Road natural site	651	224	HV	5
aj204	2.66	Amenity green space	L	Bramley plantation	649	222	HV	5
MW25	1.32	Natural	L	Mortimer Road 1	638	213	LV	5
MW5	0.92	Natural	L	Hazel Road park, Maltby	629	206	LV	5
MW9	0.69	Amenity green space	L	Amory's Holt Way green space	616	194	LV	5
MW27	0.79	Amenity green space	L	Ascension close	608	190	LV	5
MW12	0.87	Amenity green space	L	Davy Drive green space	607	188	LV	5
MW22	1.17	Amenity green space	L	Lumley Close	598	182	LV	5
XX09	0.93	Cemeteries	X	Slacks Lane	573	170	LV	5
MW17	0.71	Amenity green space	L	Tickhill Road green 2	568	164	LV	5
MW23	0.73	Amenity green space	L	Mortimer Road 2	559	157	LV	5
aj203	0.64	Amenity green space	L	Huntington Way	557	154	LV	5
MW19	0.24	Amenity green space	L	Littlewood Way Green Space	547	152	LV	5
aj205	1.21	Amenity green space	L	Bramley plantation greens	543	146	LV	5
MW6	0.33	Amenity green space	L	Upperfield Close	518	127	LV	5
MW1	0.39	Amenity green space	L	Birtley Street green space	504	115	LV	5
BW10	0.33	Natural	L	Bramley Grange Crescent	501	112	LV	5
MW26	0.41	Amenity green space	L	Somerset Street	479	103	LV	5

Unique Site	Area				Value	Value Ranking in	High or	Area
No.	(Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Score	Borough	Value	Assembly
MW02	0.26	Amenity green space	L	Addison Road	473	99	LV	5
MW7	0.42	Natural	L	Dale Hill Road	467	93	LV	5
MW3	0.32	Amenity green space	L	Addison Road green space	454	81	LV	5
BW11	0.41	Amenity green space	L	Badsworth Place	447	76	LV	5
MW20	0.26	Amenity green space	L	Tickhill Road green 1	348	37	LV	5

Area Assembly 6 Rother Valley West

Area Assembly	y o Rou	ier valley west	1		1	1		
Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name		Value Ranking in Borough	_	Area Assembly
BT23	6.74	Parks	N	Brinsworth parish fields	1163	425	HV	6
AS53	4.11	Outdoor sports	N	Fairview Drive, Aston	958	404	HV	6
TF12	3.04	Amenity green space	L	Green Arbour School, Thurcroft	958	403	HV	6
TF5	3.59	Parks	N	Gordon Bennett park	929	395	HV	6
TF2	5.33	Outdoor sports	L	Thurcroft Miners' Welfare	912	383	HV	6
aj103a	40.45	Natural	В	Pit House West	871	367	HV	6
AS55	3.45	Outdoor sports	L	West Lane, Aughton	848	358	HV	6
aj105	15.97	Natural	N	Ulley CP	829	343	HV	6
BT13	14.22	Natural	L	Catcliffe Flash LNR	825	339	HV	6
TF1	5.25	Natural	L	Steadfolds Lane natural space	821	330	HV	6
aj106	24.66	Natural	L	Treeton Wood	808	326	HV	6
aj110	8.11	Natural	L	former Treeton tip	807	324	HV	6
DN27	4.28	Parks	N	Alexandra Park	802	322	HV	6
BOW01	1.68	Amenity green space	L	Bawtry Road green space 3	797	320	HV	6
AS64	2.11	Natural	L	Rotherham Road natural space	791	318	HV	6
BT01	12.08	Natural	L	Well lane scrub	788	316	HV	6
aj108	27.62	Natural	L	Treeton Dyke F Masters	784	314	HV	6
BT20	2.84	Natural	L	Nursery Drive	755	294	HV	6
AS60	0.44	Amenity green space	L	Aughton Lane	754	293	HV	6
aj107	21.29	Natural	L	Hail Mary Wood & Falconer Wood	740	282	HV	6
AS43	0.93	Amenity green space	L	The Chase green	736	280	HV	6

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Value Ranking in Borough	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
aj200	4.16	Natural	L	Bole Hill Plantation	734	275	HV	6
BOW02	2.17	Amenity green space	L	Bawtry Road green space	730	273	HV	6
TF6	1.51	Natural	L	Zamor Crescent	687	252	HV	6
TF10	0.89	Amenity green space	L	The Crescent green	674	237	HV	6
AS61	0.73	Amenity green space	L	Lodge Lane	666	231	HV	6
AS56	3.00	Outdoor sports	L	Burgoyne Park, Aston	664	230	HV	6
BT21	0.92	Amenity green space	L	Highfield View green	651	223	HV	6
AS54	0.49	Parks	L	Main St Park, Aughton	627	204	LV	6
BT09	5.39	Natural	L	Rother Cres	622	201	LV	6
AS51	0.41	Amenity green space	L	Waleswood View green	617	198	LV	6
DN37	0.69	Amenity green space	L	Wetherby Drive	602	185	LV	6
BT14	2.54	Outdoor sports	L	Orgreave Rd green 2	599	183	LV	6
DN25	1.27	Parks	N	Alexandra Park Annex	584	176	LV	6
AS50	0.80	Cemeteries	Х	Piper Lane	571	166	LV	6
DN38	0.25	Amenity green space	L	West Park Drive	566	162	LV	6
aj109	1.19	Parks	L	Fence Recreation Ground	546	151	LV	6
AS40	1.70	Natural	L	Engine house plantation	545	149	LV	6
AS52	0.77	Natural	L	Worksop Rd natural site	535	141	LV	6
BT24	0.68	Cemeteries	Х	St Georges Churchyard	529	136	LV	6
DN36	0.52	Amenity green space	L	Main Street 2	529	137	LV	6
TF3	0.46	Amenity green space	L	Kingsforth Lane	524	131	LV	6
AS57	0.34	Cemeteries	Х	Church – Ulley	510	119	LV	6

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name		Value Ranking in Borough		Area Assembly
TF4	0.25	Amenity green space	L	Woodhouse Green	494	109	LV	6
BT02	1.61	Parks	L	Well Lane Play Area	486	108	LV	6
DN28	0.60	Amenity green space	L	Gray Avenue	479	104	LV	6
AS62	0.52	Amenity green space	L	Florance Avenue	478	102	LV	6
AS63	0.28	Amenity green space	L	Catherine Avenue green space	458	86	LV	6
BT07	1.70	Outdoor sports	L	Washfield Lane rec	458	85	LV	6
BOW03	0.44	Amenity green space	L	Brinsford Rd green	436	72	LV	6
BOW04	0.26	Natural	L	Bawtry Road natural site	424	67	LV	6
BT15	0.84	Amenity green space	L	Orgrave Rd green	414	64	LV	6
aj202	0.37	Amenity green space	L	Fernleigh Drive, Brinsworth	397	56	LV	6
DN29	0.36	Amenity green space	L	Mason Avenue green space	395	54	LV	6
DN26	0.57	Cemeteries	X	Alexander Road cemetery	389	52	LV	6
DN30A	0.24	Amenity green space	L	Mason Avenue	351	39	LV	6
BT17	0.48	Cemeteries	Х	St Mary's Church	337	35	LV	6
BT05	0.32	Cemeteries	X	St Helens church	328	28	LV	6
BT12	0.34	Amenity green space	L	Well Lane green, Treeton	321	25	LV	6
BT08	0.82	Outdoor sports	L	Washfield Sports Ground	319	23	LV	6
AS42	0.23	Cemeteries	Х	All Saints	262	10	LV	6
BT11	0.22	Amenity green space	L	Shorland Drive green	225	7	LV	6
BT16	0.22	Amenity green space		St Mary's Drive green space, Catcliffe	217	4	LV	6
BT04	0.32	Amenity green space	L	Arundel Street green, Treeton	204	3	LV	6
BT06	0.20	Amenity green space	L	War Memorial Square, Treeton	188	2	LV	6

## Area Assembly 7 Rother Valley South

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Value Ranking in Borough	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
kp9	7.12	Outdoor sports	N	Wales Parish playing fields	1046	419	HV	7
DN13	8.01	Natural	L	Alcove plantation, (Greenlands)	1025	416	HV	7
AN13	7.02	Parks	N	Greenlands park	991	410	HV	7
kp7	15.44	Natural	L	Stockwell Ave open space	944	399	HV	7
DN14	22.66	Natural	L	Undergate Road Hill, Dinnington	905	381	HV	7
AN14	1.70	Natural	L	Dukeries Drive, North Anston	901	380	HV	7
AN8	51.40	Natural	L	Anston Stones wood	889	374	HV	7
WS2	2.65	Outdoor sports	N	Woodsetts parish field	878	369	HV	7
aj103b	100.95	Natural	В	Pit House West	871	367	HV	7
kp10	5.42	Outdoor sports	L	Wales High school	850	359	HV	7
hh5	4.87	Parks	N	Spence Field, Harthill	848	357	HV	7
rvpark	154.09	Parks	В	Rother Valley Park	845	356	HV	7
DN1	2.08	Parks	L	Dinnington Park	842	353	HV	7
DN7	4.77	Outdoor sports	L	Dinnington Miners welfare	842	352	HV	7
aj101	30.52	Natural	L	Hawks Wood	836	347	HV	7
aj102	14.97	Natural	L	Kilamarsh ponds & Nor Wood	835	346	HV	7
DN42	13.91	Outdoor sports	N	Dinnington comp	828	342	HV	7
AN50	3.53	Natural	L	Windmill Plantation	824	336	HV	7
aj100	27.12	Natural	L	Old Spring Wood	821	332	HV	7
DN34	4.75	Amenity green space	L	St Leger Avenue Green Space	815	328	HV	7
aj104	7.02	Natural	L	Waleswood plantation	796	319	HV	7

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Scott Wilson Leeds

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Value Ranking in Borough	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
AN7	3.74	Natural	L	Brook walk	772	305	HV	7
DN9	3.68	Outdoor sports	N	Dinnington Miner's Welfare	754	292	HV	7
DN2	1.49	Cemeteries	Χ	Park Avenue Cemetery	752	289	HV	7
tw03	2.61	Outdoor sports	L	Todwick Rec	752	287	HV	7
kp20	2.53	Outdoor sports	L	Manor Road, Kiveton Park	732	274	HV	7
hh2	1.80	Outdoor sports	L	Winney Hill Park, Harthill	720	271	HV	7
kp01	1.95	Outdoor sports	L	Red Hill Rec, Kiveton Park	715	267	HV	7
AN1	1.83	Outdoor sports	L	Anston Parish field	703	262	HV	7
DN5	1.16	Natural	L	Leicester Road	687	253	HV	7
DN30	1.43	Outdoor sports	L	Firbeck Avenue, Laughton-en-le-Morthern	685	249	HV	7
tw04	1.74	Natural	L	Todwick Plantation	680	244	HV	7
DN24	1.92	Parks	L	Hangman Lane park	668	233	HV	7
AN3	0.69	Amenity green space	L	Westbank Drive green	641	216	HV	7
AN15	1.18	Amenity green space	L	Kendal Ave Park	640	214	LV	7
tw02	0.84	Cemeteries	Χ	Todwick Parish Church	640	215	HV	7
AN4	0.88	Cemeteries	Χ	St James church	634	209	LV	7
DN3	1.01	Natural	L	White Quarry plantation	634	208	LV	7
AN2	0.74	Parks	L	Lockwood Ave play area	628	205	LV	7
hh7	1.15	Cemeteries	Х	Union Street Church	622	202	LV	7
DN6	0.37	Natural	L	Foljambe drive 1	603	186	LV	7
kp14	1.37	Amenity green space		Wales bar field	599	184	LV	7
kp8	2.10	Amenity green space	L	Longlands Ave green spaces	596	181	LV	7

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Scott Wilson Leeds

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Value Ranking in Borough	High or Low Value	Area Assembly
DN10	1.15	Amenity green space	L	East Street green	593	180	LV	7
DN35	1.05	Amenity green space	L	Hatfield Crescent Green Space	585	177	LV	7
DN45	0.53	Amenity green space	L	Bookers Way	574	172	LV	7
DN16	1.73	Natural	L	Athorpe Road natural area	571	167	LV	7
kp02	0.43	Amenity green space	L	Essex Close green	567	163	LV	7
DN32	0.66	Cemeteries	Χ	All Saints Church	561	159	LV	7
AN9	0.81	Amenity green space	L	Nursery Rd	556	153	LV	7
AN6	2.70	Parks	L	Anston Parish hall	544	148	LV	7
hh8	0.44	Amenity green space	L	Hard Lane verge	543	147	LV	7
DN41	0.78	Parks	L	Chestnut Grove Park	525	132	LV	7
DN23	1.46	Natural	L	Meadow Street	522	130	LV	7
DN31	0.47	Natural	L	Abbey Close	521	129	LV	7
hh4	0.40	Amenity green space	L	Peregrine Way	520	128	LV	7
ts01	0.84	Outdoor sports	L	Sorby field, Wickersley	513	122	LV	7
WS1	0.29	Cemeteries	Χ	St Georges	509	118	LV	7
DN40	0.45	Amenity green space	L	Breck Lane Green	500	111	LV	7
DN21	0.39	Cemeteries	Х	St John's Road	479	105	LV	7
AN10	0.43	Amenity green space	L	Woodland Drive green space	472	98	LV	7
DN12	1.74	Amenity green space	L	Laughton Road	463	90	LV	7
DN17	1.15	Natural	L	Undertake Road	456	83	LV	7
kp12	0.98	Cemeteries	Х	Stockwell Lane cemetery	454	80	LV	7
kp13	0.47	Cemeteries	Х	St John the Baptist	448	78	LV	7

Ref: D101692/ROS Reports/lb's/RMBC Appendicies Status: Final Mar 05

Scott Wilson Leeds

Unique Site Identification No.	Area (Ha)	Typology	Hierarchy	Site Name	Value Score	Value Ranking in Borough	High or Low	Area Assembly
AN5		Typology Cemeteries		South Anston burial ground	440	75	LV	ASSEITIDIY
				-				7
DN43	0.47	Natural	L	Dinnington Comp Wood	398	57	LV	7
ts02	0.22	Cemeteries	X	St Peters church	394	53	LV	7
DN33	0.90	Natural	L	Manor lane natural site	388	51	LV	7
DN18	0.43	Cemeteries	Χ	Constable Lane	360	43	LV	7
AN11	0.22	Amenity green space	L	The Rise green	336	33	LV	7
DN48	0.20	Amenity green space	L	Riverside Court, Laughton	336	34	LV	7
AN12	0.23	Amenity green space	L	The Green 2, North Anston	330	30	LV	7
DN46	0.31	Amenity green space	L	Park Lane, Dinnington	313	21	LV	7
DN15	0.35	Amenity green space	L	Constable Lane green, Dinnington	279	16	LV	7
DN44	0.46	Amenity green space	L	Manor Lane, Throapham	270	12	LV	7
DN11	0.18	Parks	L	Coronation Park, Dinnington	237	8	LV	7

Appendix F

**Tennis and Bowls** 

Appendix G

**Cross Boundary Open Space**